

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr poonam Singh

2. Employee ID *

P- 33

3. Email ID *

Poonamsingh4673@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

73882 90105

5. Name of the College

College of Community Science

6. Name of the Department_ *

Family Resource Management and Consumer Science

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

PhD in family Resource Management 28

8. Experience (Year) *

28

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr Alok Kumar Singh

2. Employee ID *

A 196

3. Email ID *

aloksingh.agri@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

9450045391

5. Name of the College

College of Agricultural ANDUAT AYODHYA

6. Name of the Department_ *

Crop Physiology

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

PhD

8. Experience (Year) *

3.5 year

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

Nil

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr Namita

2. Employee ID *

NA/000401

3. Email ID *

namitajoshivet@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

9450763939

5. Name of the College

College of Veterinary Science &AH

6. Name of the Department_ *

Veterinary Public Health &Epidemiology

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

Ph D

8. Experience (Year) *

21

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

nil

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr. Sadhna Singh

2. Employee ID *

S - 02

3. Email ID *

Dolmanduat@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

9450766647

5. Name of the College

College of Community Science

6. Name of the Department_ *

Food Science and Nutrition

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

P.hd

8. Experience (Year) *

21 years

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

Additional faculty is needed to provide quality education.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr poonam Singh

2. Employee ID *

P- 33

3. Email ID *

Poonamsingh4673@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

73882 90105

5. Name of the College

College of Community Science

6. Name of the Department_ *

Family Resource Management and Consumer Science

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

PhD in family Resource Management 28

8. Experience (Year) *

28

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr Alok Kumar Singh

2. Employee ID *

A 196

3. Email ID *

aloksingh.agri@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

9450045391

5. Name of the College

College of Agricultural ANDUAT AYODHYA

6. Name of the Department_ *

Crop Physiology

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

PhD

8. Experience (Year) *

3.5 year

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

Nil

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr Namita

2. Employee ID *

NA/000401

3. Email ID *

namitajoshivet@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

9450763939

5. Name of the College

College of Veterinary Science &AH

6. Name of the Department_ *

Veterinary Public Health &Epidemiology

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

Ph D

8. Experience (Year) *

21

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

nil

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

TEACHERS' FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the faculty *

Dr. Sadhna Singh

2. Employee ID *

S - 02

3. Email ID *

Dolmanduat@gmail.com

4. Mobile Number *

9450766647

5. Name of the College

College of Community Science

6. Name of the Department_ *

Food Science and Nutrition

7. Highest qualification/ Specialization *

P.hd

8. Experience (Year) *

21 years

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

11. Depth of the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Relevance of the course for providing employability *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

15. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

16. Provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Comfortability in teaching the entire syllabus within the specified time frame *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

18. Any suggestion

Additional faculty is needed to provide quality education.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms